

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/031,589	07/01/2002	Toshio Ota	084335-0155	6330
22428	7590 05/26/2004	•	EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER SUITE 500			LOCKARD, JON	MCCLELLAND
3000 K STREET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20007		1647	:

DATE MAILED: 05/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/031,589	OTA ET AL.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of this communication ann	Jon M Lockard	1647				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_ .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-16 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority arraor oo o.e.e. g 1 ro(a)	, (0) 3. (1).				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau	•	a in time stational clage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
Attachment(a)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-932) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-3, 5-10 and 13-15, drawn to polynucleotides, polypeptides, vectors, host cells, method of producing polypeptide and polynucleotide.

Group II, claim(s) 4, drawn to antibodies.

Group III, claim(s) 11 and 16, drawn to method of detecting polynucleotides.

Group IV, claim(s) 12, drawn to antisense polynucleotides.

The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the polynucleotides, vectors, host cells and polypeptides of Group I and methods of making polypeptide and polynucleotide are structurally and functionally different compounds from the antibodies of Group II and the antisense polynucleotides of Group IV and a different method from the methods of detecting polynucleotide of Group III. Lack of unity is shown because these compounds or methods lack a common utility which is based upon a common structural feature which has been identified as the basis for that common utility.

Whichever group is elected, there is a further election required within that group, pertaining to polynucleotide and polypeptide, antibody and methods of using from four different groups:

A) SEQ ID NOS: 1 and 2,

Art Unit: 1647

B) SEQ ID NOS: 3 and 4,

C) SEQ ID NOS: 5 and 6 and

D) SEQ ID NOS: 7 and 8.

The inventions listed as Groups A-B do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the polynucleotides, polypeptides and antibodies of Groups A-B are functionally and structurally distinct compounds from each other, and therefor the methods are also structurally and functionally distinct. Lack of unity is shown because these compounds or methods lack a common utility which is based upon a common structural feature which has been identified as the basis for that common utility.

A telephone call was made to Stephen B. Maebius on 25 May 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/031,589

Art Unit: 1647

Page 4

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Jon M. Lockard, Ph.D.** whose telephone number is (571) 272-2717. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Gary Kunz, Ph.D.** can be reached on (571) 272-0887.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JML May 25, 2004 Ciler B. O Nava